1	JEMMA DUNN		
2	Nevada Bar No. 16880 MARIAN L. MASSEY Nevada Bar No. 14579 GREENBERG GROSS LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 730		
3			
4			
5			
6	Telephone: (702) 777-0888 Facsimile: (702) 777-0801		
7	JDunn@GGTrialLaw.com MHale@GGTrialLaw.com		
8	MMassey@GGTrialLaw.com		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11	CLARA HAMILTON, an individual,	Case No.: 2:24-cv-02378-GMN-DJA	
12			
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE	
14	V.	FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT SAGEBRUSH HEALTH	
15	BATTLEBORN MEDICAL MANAGEMENT LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; SAGEBRUSH HEALTH SERVICES, a Nevada nonprofit corporation,	SERVICES' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF NO. 36)	
16		(FIRST REQUEST)	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Clara Hamilton ("Plaintiff"), by		
20	and through her respective counsel of record, and Defendant Sagebrush Health Service		
21	("Defendant"), by and through its respective counsel of record, that Plaintiff shall have unti		
22	December 19, 2025 to file her response to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. This		
23	Stipulation is submitted and based upon the following:		
24	1. Plaintiff's Complaint was filed in the United States District Court, District of Nevad		
25	on December 19, 2024, and asserted claims related to Plaintiff's employment with Defendant. (EC)		
26	No. 1).		
27	2. On November 21, 2025, Defendan	t filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. (ECF No	
28	36).		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR PLAI	NTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT SAGEBRUSH	

HEALTH SERVICES' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF NO. 36)

- Plaintiff's counsel requires additional time to complete the response due to pre-existing scheduling conflicts and the need to review recently received information pertinent to the motion. The extension will allow for a thorough and accurate response without prejudicing either party. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests a brief extension to the response deadline to respond to the Motion.
 The Parties have agreed to extend the deadline for Plaintiff to file her response to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment by one-week, from December 12, 2025 to December
- 19, 2025, based upon Plaintiff's pre-existing scheduling conflicts and the need to review recently received information pertinent to the Motion.
- 5. This is the first stipulation to extend the time for Plaintiff to respond to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 6. The Parties believe these circumstances constitute good cause for granting an extension. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1).
 - 7. This Stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

15 /// 16 /// 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 ///

27

28

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1 2 SO STIPULATED. 3 Dated this December 10, 2025. 4 **GREENBERG GROSS LLP** WHITEHEAD & WHITEHEAD PLLC 5 /s/ Marian L. Massey /s/ Jonathan J. Whitehead 6 Jemma E. Dunn, Bar #16229 Jonathan J. Whitehead, Bar # 4415 Matthew T. Hale, Bar #16880 10389 Double R. Blvd. 7 Marian L. Massey, Bar #14579 Reno, NV 89521 1980 Festival Plaza Dr., Suite 730 8 Las Vegas, NV 89135 & 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST Michael E. Sullivan, Bar #5142 71 Washington Street 10 Reno, NV 89503 11 Attorneys for Defendants 12 13 14 IT IS SO ORDERED: 15 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 Dated: December 11, 2025 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28